TRANSLATION

IKANSLATI

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ernational Application No. PCT/EP91/02057 ceiving Office PO / RP. 31 402 International Filing Date Priority Date Claimed			
PCT/EP91/02057 30.10.91 ceiving Office Priority Date Claimed			
ceiving Office Priority Date Claimed	International Filing Date		
ceiving Office Priority Date Claimed			
DO (ED			
RO/EP 05.11.90			
NUKEM GMBH, et al.			
BASIS OF REPORT			
AMENDMENTS AND/OR RECTIFICATIONS ^{1*} — The amendments and/or rectifications made before this international Authority in respect of the claims, the description, and/or drawings in the above-identified international application are an	Preliminary Examining inexed to this report.		
$oldsymbol{\mathbb{Z}}$ This report has been established on the basis of the following application documents:			
the application documents as filed			
🕱 description, pages 2,3,4,7-14 as originally filed			
description, pages 1,5,5a,6 filed with your letter of 21.10.92	2		
description, pages filed with your letter of			
description, pages filed with your letter of filed with your letter of	•••		
claim(s) as originally filed			
claim(s) 1-7 filed with your letter of 21.10.92	2		
claim(s) filed with your letter of	Total Control of the		
claim(s) filed with your letter of			
drawings, sheet.fig. as originally filed			
drawings, sheet/fig.			
The amendments resulted in the cancellation of the following sheets:			
This report has been established as if the amendments indicated on the extra sheet have not been made, since, for the have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed.	reasons indicated, they		
PRIORITY 2			
This report has been established as if no priority has been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the pre-	escribed time limit th		
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.			
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.	as been found invalid		
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed. This report has been established as if no priority has been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim h			
This report has been established as if no priority has been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim h			
This report has been established as if no priority has been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim h			

_	BASIS OF REPORT (Continued)
_	
3.	UNITY OF INVENTION 3 — The international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.
	a. In response to an invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
	restricted the claims.
	paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. Where requested by the applicant, the text of the protest together with the decision taken thereon are appeared to this report.
	taken thereon are annexed to this report. neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
	b. No invitation has been issued. The opinion of this International Preliminary Examining Authority is that the international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention for the following reasons. (specify)
	c. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of International preliminary examination in
	establishing this report:
	all parts. the parts relating to the restricted claims, that is claims Nos
	the parts relating to the main invention, that is claims Nos
,	NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF REPORT ON QUESTIONS OF NOVELTY, INVENTIVE STEP OR INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY 4
∢.	The questions of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel to involve an inventive step or to be industrially applicable
	have not for the reasons indicated been gone into in respect of: a. \igcup the entire international application
	b claims Nos for the following reasons:
	Said international application, or said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not
	require an international preliminary examination. (specify)
	The description, claims, or drawings (indicate particular elements) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	The claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	Said claims Nos are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of PCT Rule 6.4(a).

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all.) 5

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

B01J; C01B; C01F

REASONED STATEMENT AS TO CLAIMS MEETING CRITERIA OF NOVELTY (N), INVENTIVE STEP (IS) AND INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (IA) AND CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS SUPPORTING SUCH STATEMENT

		SUPPORTING SUCH STATEMENT	
CLAIM NUMBER	STATEMENT (CRITERIA)	CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	
1	N: Yes IS: No		
2	N: Yes		
3, 5 7	, N: No	See the Accompanying sheet.	
4,6	N: Yes		
1-7	IA: Yes		

Page 4

Kind of Non-Written Disclosure	Date of Written Dis Non-Written Disclo	closure referring to the	Date of Non-Written Disclosure
			•
		- ;	
		;	
	CERTAIN PUBLIS	HED DOCUMENTS 10	
Application/Patent	Date of Publication	Filing Date	Priority Date (Valid Claim)
;			
		:	
	TAIN DEFECTS IN THE IN		
e following defects in the form or	contents of the international a	pplication have been noted.	
	•		
•			
	OBSERVATIONS ON TH	HE INTERNATIONAL AF	PPLICATION 12
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN	arity of the claims, description.		PPLICATION 12 stion whether the claims are fully suppor
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.		
CERTAIN e following observations on the ci- the description have been noted.	arity of the claims, description.	and drawings or on the que	stion whether the claims are fully suppor
CERTAIN e following observations on the cl.	arity of the claims, description.	and drawings or on the que	stion whether the claims are fully suppor
certain e following observations on the cl the description have been noted.	arity of the claims, description.	IFICATION Date of Completion of Report	stion whether the claims are fully support
CERTAIN e following observations on the ci- the description have been noted.	arity of the claims, description.	IFICATION Date of Completion of Report	stion whether the claims are fully suppor
certain e following observations on the cl the description have been noted.	cert	IFICATION Date of Completion of Report	the International Preliminary Examinate

The subject matter of claim 1 is novel, because none of the international search report citations discloses all the features of claim 1.

US-A-4 198 318 (D1) is considered to be the closest state of the art.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 by its characterizing portion. But this characterizing portion does not involve an inventive step. It is known from FR-A-2 387 076 (D2) to manufacture beadlike particles by producing droplets using a vibrating (frequency 600 Hz) plate having several openings or nozzles, pre-solidifying the droplets separately by blowing ammonia gas onto them laterally and collecting the pre-solidified droplets in an ammonia solution. It was obvious to the person skilled in the art to use the measures known from D2 in D1 to obtain the desired aluminium oxide shaped bodies.

The measures of the dependent claims 3-7 cannot contribute to a patentable independent claim 1, because they are already known, see D2, page 4, line 13 et seq. with the present claim 3, and D1, column 3, lines 26-29 with the present claims 5 and 7, or fall under the routine work of those skilled in the art, see present claims 4 and 6.

The independent claim 2 differs from claim 1 by the use of a nozzle plate comprising several nozzles disposed on a ring. This arrangement makes it possible to pre-solidify the droplets dropped from the nozzle ring from inside the ring and, from the outside, by blowing ammonia gas onto them. The droplets

thereby become sufficiently solid to withstand flattening before entering the ammonia solution and aluminium oxide shaped bodies of optimal sphericity are obtained.

The teaching of claim 2 is neither anticipated by the documents D1 and D2 nor suggested by their combination.

The aluminium oxide beads produced by the process as per the application can be used as adsorbents in chromatography or as catalysts or catalyst supports.